# Topology and interactions within the magic angle twisted bilayer graphene narrow bands

Oskar Vafek

National High Magnetic Field Laboratory

and Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL







## Lecture 1:

- Physical origin of the narrow bands: structure and back-of-the-envelope of the argument minimal continuum model
- Band topology and the role of the emergent symmetries
   The tool which we will use to detect the narrow band topology is the non-Abelian Berry phase
  - or the ``Wilson loops'' explain how to think about it physically, show the results of the calculation and show its eigenstates
- Turn on the interactions: Generalized ferromagnetism and Chern states itineracy at strong coupling and cascades between heavy and light fermions
- \*Surprises

period 2-stripe state, insulator despite  $C_{2z}T$  and valley U(1) symmetry AND Wilson loops of all subbands become trivial. Candidate for the Chern 0 insulator at odd filling (v=3)





Bistritzer&MacDonald PNAS (2011)



Effective field theory perspective: L. Balents SciPost Phys. 7, 048 (2019)

Systematic improvement on BM + treatment of arbitrary smooth lattice deformation: OV and Jian Kang 2208.05933, 2208.05953



Bistritzer&MacDonald PNAS (2011)

## Position operator within the narrow bands:

probing the band topology via the non-Abelian Berry phase

 $\hat{\mathcal{P}}e^{-i\delta q\cdot r}\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ 

Projected position operator along  $g_1$ :

seek eigenstates of the form: 
$$\sum_{b} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \alpha_{j,b} |k_2 g_2 + j \delta q, b\rangle$$

Then,  $\Lambda_{bb'}(k_2, j) \alpha_{j+1,b'} = \epsilon_k \alpha_{j,b}$ where  $\Lambda_{bb'}(k_2, j) = \langle u_{k_2}g_{2+j} \delta_{q,b} | u_{k_2}g_{2+(j+1)} \delta_{q,b'} \rangle$ and  $u_{ka}$  is the periodic part of the Bloch function

$$\Lambda(k_2, 0)\Lambda(k_2, 1)...\Lambda(k_2, N-1)\alpha_0 = \epsilon_k^N \alpha_0$$
  
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \Lambda(k_2, 0)\Lambda(k_2, 1)...\Lambda(k_2, N-1) = W(k_2)$$
 unitary

In the thermodynamic limit:  $\delta q \rightarrow 0$ 



R. Yu, X. L. Qi, B. A. Bernevig, Z. Fang, and X. Dai, PRB 84, 075119 (2011)

#### Position operator within the narrow bands:

probing the band topology via the non-Abelian Berry phase

For smooth 
$$|u_{ka}\rangle$$
 anti-Hermitian  

$$\lim_{\delta q \to 0} \langle u_{ka} | u_{k+\delta q,b} \rangle = \delta_{ab} + (u_{ka} | \nabla_k | u_{kb}) \cdot \delta q$$

$$= \delta_{ab} - \langle \nabla_k u_{ka} | u_{kb} \rangle \cdot \delta q$$

$$= \delta_{ab} - \langle u_{kb} | \nabla_k | u_{ka} \rangle^* \cdot \delta q$$
Hermitian
$$= e^{-i \langle A_{ab}(k) \cdot \delta q}$$

$$= e^{-i \langle A_{ab}(k) \cdot \delta q}$$

$$W(k_2) = \left[ e^{-i \oint A_{ab}(k) \cdot dk} \right]_{path \ ordered} \Rightarrow W's \ \text{eigenvalues can be} \ \text{expressed as } e^{-2\pi i < x_{\pm}/L_m > x_{\pm}/L_m}$$

In practice N is finite and  $\Lambda(k_2, j) = U\Sigma V^{\dagger} \rightarrow UV^{\dagger}$  $W(k_2) \rightarrow UV^{\dagger}(k_2, 0)UV^{\dagger}(k_2, 1)...UV^{\dagger}(k_2, N-1)$  A numerical advantage of this method is that W does not depend on the choice of the phase  $|u_{k\,a}\rangle$ 

## Narrow band ``Wilson loops''



Within each valley the narrow bands are topologically non-trivial (similar to Z<sub>2</sub> TI)

Z. Song et al, PRL 123, 036401 (2019); J. Kang and OV, PRB 102, 035161 (2020)

#### Band topology and hybrid Wannier states



Z. Song et al, PRL 123, 036401 (2019); J. Kang and OV PRB 2020

video courtesy Xiaoyu Wang (NHMFL)

# The role of symmetry in TBG band topology

• The reason why the ``Wilson loop'' eigenvalues  $\langle x_{\pm} \rangle$  are opposites is the  $C_{2z}T$  symmetry of the continuum model. This is because

$$W^*(k_2) = \mathcal{U} W(k_2) \mathcal{U}^{\dagger}$$



So, because our eigenvalue of  $W(k_2)$  has a non-zero imaginary part, its complex conjugate is also an eigenvalue of  $W(k_2)$ .

 The reason why the ``Wilson loop'' winds is because the winding number around the two Dirac nodes is the same and because the sum of the Berry phases of the two bands along any non-contractable cycle is trivial



H. C. Po, L. Zou, A. Vishwanath, and T. Senthil, PRX (2018) J. Ahn, S. Park, and B.-J. Yang, PRX 9, 021013 (2019) Fang Xie, Zhida Song, Biao Lian, and B. Andrei Bernevig PRL 124, 167002 (2020)

# The role of symmetry in TBG band topology

• In general, the  $C_{2z}T$  symmetry requires  $\det W(k_2) = \pm 1$ 

	$\det W(k_2) = +1$	$\det W(k_2) = -1$
•	the two eigenvalues are complex conjugates of each other	<ul> <li>the two eigenvalues are real and (1,-1) independent of k<sub>2</sub> (i.e. trivial winding) (we find this in the C<sub>2z</sub>T symmetric period-2 stripe state)</li> </ul>



Fang Xie, Zhida Song, Biao Lian, and B. Andrei Bernevig PRL 124, 167002 (2020) Fang Xie, Jian Kang, B Andrei Bernevig, OV, Nicolas Regnault arXiv:2209.14322

# Emergence of symmetries at low twist angle

Example of the so called  $D_3$  structure (graphene layers are twisted about the site)



- For this structure,  $C_{2x}$  is not an exact symmetry and because  $C_{2y}$  is, neither is  $C_{2z}T$
- For any tight-binding model, valley conservation is not exact

#### Emergence of symmetries at low twist angle



from Moon and Koshino PRB 85, 195458 (2012)

L. Zou, H.C. Po, A. Vishwanath, and T. Senthil PRB **98**, 085435 (2018)

$$(m,n) = (25,26) \qquad (\theta = 1.3^{o})$$



Jian Kang and OV PRX 8, 031088 (2018)

$$(m,n) = (25,26) \qquad (\theta = 1.3^{o})$$



Jian Kang and OV PRX 8, 031088 (2018)

## **Electron correlations**

$$H_{kin} = \int d\mathbf{r} \ \chi_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} H_{BM} & 0 \\ 0 & H_{BM}^{*} \end{pmatrix} \chi_{\sigma} \qquad \qquad \chi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n\mathbf{k}} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) d_{\sigma,\mathbf{K},n,\mathbf{k}} \\ \Psi_{n\mathbf{k}}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) d_{\sigma,\mathbf{K}',n,-\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_{1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta\rho(\mathbf{r}) \delta\rho(\mathbf{r}') \qquad \delta\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \chi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \chi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{2} \{\chi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}), \chi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r})\}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \{ \chi_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{r}), \chi_{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{r}) \} = \bar{\rho}_{E_{c}}(\boldsymbol{r}) = 2 \sum_{|\epsilon_{nk}| \leq E_{c}} \Psi_{nk}^{*}(\boldsymbol{r}) \Psi_{nk}(\boldsymbol{r})$$

OV and J. Kang PRL2020



Coulomb interaction is non-perturbative within the narrow bands: strong coupling

(renormalized) 
$$H_{kin} \ll V_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta \rho(\mathbf{r}) \delta \rho(\mathbf{r}')$$

**Charge neutrality point:** any many-body state that is annihilated by  $\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})$  is a ground state

**Even integer filling**: ground states are many-body eigenstates of  $\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})$ 

**Odd integer filling**: if sublattice is perfectly polarized (i.e. chiral limit) Chern states are ground states

## **Generalized ferromagnets are favored by the projected Coulomb interactions**

OV and J. Kang PRL2019,2020; Bultinck et al PRX2020, Bernevig et al 2020TBG series

## Spin-valley U(4) symmetry in the strong coupling limit



Bultinck et al PRX 2020, Bernevig et al 2020 TBG series J. Kang and OV, PRL2019 and OV and J.Kang PRL2020 Coulomb interaction is non-perturbative within the narrow bands: strong coupling

(renormalized) 
$$H_{kin} \ll V_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta \rho(\mathbf{r}) \delta \rho(\mathbf{r}')$$

**Charge neutrality point:** any many-body state that is annihilated by  $\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})$  is a ground state

Valley polarized state is annihilated by  $\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}) \Rightarrow$  it is a ground state

# Any state that can be obtained from the valley polarized state by the spin-valley U(4) rotation is also a ground state

OV and J. Kang PRL2019,2020; Bultinck et al PRX2020, Bernevig et al 2020TBG series

# Exact single particle excitation spectrum at CNP in the strong coupling limit: Bloch basis after RG



OV and Jian Kang, PRL 2020 (see also Andrei Bernevig et al TBG series)

Exact (neutral) collective modes in the strong coupling limit: Bloch basis after RG



OV and Jian Kang, PRL 2020

#### Justification for the strong coupling approach



Jian Kang and OV (unpublished)

Exact single particle excitation spectrum at integer filling in the strong coupling: chiral limit  ${}^{w_0}/{}_{w_1} = 0$ 

OV and Jian Kang 104, 075143 (2021)

Exact single particle excitation spectrum at integer filling in the strong coupling: chiral limit  $w_0/w_1 = 0$ 

 $\mathcal{E}^{hole}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{E}^{(F)}(\mathbf{k}) - \mathcal{E}^{(H)}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) \qquad \mathcal{E}^{particle}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{E}^{(F)}(\mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{E}^{(H)}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k})$ 



Exact single particle excitation spectrum at integer filling in the strong coupling: chiral limit  ${}^{w_0}/{}_{w_1} = 0$ 

 $\mathcal{E}^{hole}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{E}^{(F)}(\mathbf{k}) - \mathcal{E}^{(H)}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) \qquad \mathcal{E}^{particle}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{E}^{(F)}(\mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{E}^{(H)}_{\nu}(\mathbf{k})$ 



#### Interpolating between the integer filling using (uniform) Hartree-Fock



#### Interpolating between the integer filling using (uniform) Hartree-Fock

