The quest for Majorana I



Mourik et al., Science 2012

Jason Alicea (Caltech)

Acknowledgments

Anton Akhmerov (Harvard) Erez Berg (Weizmann) David Clarke (Caltech) Paul Fendley (UVA) Lukasz Fidkowski (Station Q) Matthew Fisher (UCSB) Marcel Franz (UBC) Bert Halperin (Harvard) Jun Hu (UCI) Liang Jiang (Caltech) Takuya Kitagawa (Harvard) Shu-Ping Lee (Caltech) Netanel Lindner (Caltech) Roman Lutchyn (Station Q) Roger Mong (Caltech)

Chetan Nayak (Station Q) Yuval Oreg (Weizmann) David Pekker (Caltech) Falko Pientka (Berlin) Gil Refael (Caltech) Johannes Reuther (Caltech) Alessandro Romito (Berlin) Kirill Shtengel (UCR) Oleg Starykh (Utah) Ady Stern (Weizmann) Miles Stoudenmire (UCI) Felix von Oppen (Berlin) Conan Weeks (UBC) Rugian Wu (UCI) Amir Yacoby (Harvard)







Outline

 Some general remarks on Majorana fermions in condensed matter

- Toy models capturing Majoranas (continued)
 - Quick reminder of "Kitaev chain"
 - 2D topological superconductivity

Select plausible experimental realizations

Majorana fermions: high-energy vs. cond. matter



Ettore Majorana (1906-1938?)

Originally "invented" Majorana fermions

(fermionic particles that are their own antiparticle)







Majorana fermions: high-energy vs. cond. matter



Condensed matter physicists mainly seek Majorana fermion zeromodes (which are not really particles!)



$$\gamma = \gamma$$



Typical metal	
or insulator	



$$c^{\dagger}|\psi
angle$$
 (Adds an electron)



$$c^{\dagger}|\psi
angle$$
 (Adds an electron)
 $c|\psi
angle$ (Adds a hole)



Majorana appears only through **emergent** excitations



Majorana appears only through **emergent** excitations



Superconductors

are natural platforms

 $f^{\dagger} \sim uc^{\dagger} + vc$



Majorana appears only through **emergent** excitations



Superconductors are natural platforms but must be topological

$$f^{\dagger} \sim uc^{\dagger} + vc$$

Outline

Some general remarks on Majorana fermions in condensed matter

- Toy models capturing Majoranas (continued)
 - Quick reminder of "Kitaev chain"
 - 2D topological superconductivity

Select plausible experimental realizations







$$\begin{cases} \gamma_i^{\dagger} = \gamma_i & \gamma_i^2 = 1 & \gamma_1 \gamma_2 = -\gamma_2 \gamma_1 \\ f = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + i\gamma_2) & f|0\rangle = 0 & f^{\dagger}|0\rangle = |1\rangle \end{cases}$$









$$H = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \pi) \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} + (\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{-\mathbf{k}} + h \ c) \right] \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \propto k_x + ik_y$$







$$H = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \pi) \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} + (\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{-\mathbf{k}} + h \ c) \right] \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \propto k_x + ik_y$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, \psi_{-\mathbf{k}} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu & \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} & -\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \psi_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\vec{h}_{\mathbf{k}} \quad \vec{\sigma}$$









$$H = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \pi) \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} + (\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{-\mathbf{k}} + h c) \right] \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \propto k_x + ik_y$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, \psi_{-\mathbf{k}} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu & \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} & -\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \psi_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\vec{h}_{\mathbf{k}} \quad \vec{\sigma}$$



Upon sweeping all of k-space, how many times does \vec{h}_k cover the unit sphere? Defines "Chern number".

$$C = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{4\pi} [\mathbf{\hat{h}} \cdot (\partial_{k_x} \mathbf{\hat{h}} \times \partial_{k_y} \mathbf{\hat{h}})]$$







$$H = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \pi) \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} + (\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{-\mathbf{k}} + h \ c) \right] \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \propto k_x + ik_y$$

k

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, \psi_{-\mathbf{k}} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu & \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} & -\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \psi_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \quad \vec{h}_{\mathbf{k}} \quad \vec{\sigma}$$

Upon sweeping all of k-space, how many times does \vec{h}_k cover the unit sphere? Defines "Chern number".

$$C = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{4\pi} [\mathbf{\hat{h}} \cdot (\partial_{k_x} \mathbf{\hat{h}} \times \partial_{k_y} \mathbf{\hat{h}})]$$







$$H = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \pi) \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} + (\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{-\mathbf{k}} + h c) \right] \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \propto k_x + ik_y$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, \psi_{-\mathbf{k}} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu & \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} & -\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \psi_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \quad \vec{h}_{\mathbf{k}} \quad \vec{\sigma}$$

Upon sweeping all of k-space, how many times does \vec{h}_k cover the unit sphere? Defines "Chern number".

$$C = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{4\pi} [\mathbf{\hat{h}} \cdot (\partial_{k_x} \mathbf{\hat{h}} \times \partial_{k_y} \mathbf{\hat{h}})]$$









$$H = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \pi) \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} + (\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{-\mathbf{k}} + h \ c) \right] \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} \propto k_x + ik_y$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \left[\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}, \psi_{-\mathbf{k}} \right] \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu & \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} \\ \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} & -\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \\ \psi_{-\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$$

Upon sweeping all of k-space, how many times does \vec{h}_k cover the unit sphere? Defines "Chern number".

$$C = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{4\pi} [\mathbf{\hat{h}} \cdot (\partial_{k_x} \mathbf{\hat{h}} \times \partial_{k_y} \mathbf{\hat{h}})]$$

 $\mu > 0 \qquad C = 1 / \mathbf{Topological} / k$ $\mu < 0 \qquad C = 0$ Trivial

Cut torus into planar geometry:



Topological spinless p+ip SC











Summary of toy models



Homework Set I

I. For the Kitaev chain, find an expression for the topological invariant distinguishing the trivial and non-trivial phases of the model. Does it take on integer values, or is it a Z_2 index?

2. Find the Hamiltonian describing low-energy physics at the phase transition between topological and trivial phases of the Kitaev chain. Compare to the edge Hamiltonian for a p+ip superconductor.

3. For a 2D spinless p+ip superconductor, derive the chiral Majorana edge Hamiltonian. Does the Majorana operator satisfy periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions? How does your answer change if the bulk has vortices? f

$$H_{\rm edge} = \int du \gamma_{\rm edge} (-iv\partial_u) \gamma_{\rm edge}$$

4. Using your answer to 3, argue that h/2e vortices bind Majorana zero-modes as claimed. (Hint: think about the vortex core as a small puncture in the system.) Are there other finite-energy modes bound to the vortex? If so what are their energies?

Outline

Some general remarks on Majorana fermions in condensed matter

- Toy models capturing Majoranas (continued)
 - Quick reminder of "Kitaev chain"
 - 2D topological superconductivity

Select plausible experimental realizations

"Spinless" ID, 2D p-wave superconductivity is hard to find.

I. We live in 3D



"Spinless" ID, 2D p-wave superconductivity is hard to find.

I. We live in 3D



("Intrinsic" ID and 2D superconductors also do not exhibit LRO at finite T, unlike toy models where this is assumed.)

"Spinless" ID, 2D p-wave superconductivity is hard to find.



2. Electrons carry spin



"Spinless" ID, 2D p-wave superconductivity is hard to find.



3. Vast majority of superconductors form **spin-singlet** Cooper pairs



Two ways forward

I. Search for new compounds w/exotic superconductivity

Two ways forward

I. Search for new compounds w/exotic superconductivity

Matthias's 6th rule: Stay away from theorists!

Two ways forward

I. Search for new compounds w/exotic superconductivity

Matthias's 6th rule: Stay away from theorists!

2. "Engineer" topological superconductivity from available materials

Theorists **can** be useful, particularly if methods involve **weakly interacting electrons**

(Approach originally pioneered by Fu & Kane.)

General strategy for "engineering" topological superconducors (and other exotic phases)

Suppose you want a system with properties X and Y which seem incompatible...

Exhibits property X

Exhibits property Y

General strategy for "engineering" topological superconducors (and other exotic phases)

Suppose you want a system with properties X and Y which seem incompatible...

Hybrid device can exhibit both X **and** Y!

Very useful concept, likely with lots of untapped potential!

General strategy for "engineering" topological superconducors (and other exotic phases)

Here, one subsystem will support ID or 2D modes with **strong spinorbit coupling**...

Suppose you want a system with properties X and Y which seem incompatible...



Experimental Routes to ID topological superconductivity





I. Gapless as long as time-reversal,U(I) particle conservation are present

II. By construction ID & "spinless"

III. Easy to make superconducting







I. Gapless as long as time-reversal, U(I) particle conservation are present

II. By construction ID & "spinless"

III. Easy to make superconducting





$$H_{\text{edge}} = \int dx \left[-\pi (\psi_R \psi_R + \psi_L \psi_L) - ihv(\psi_R \partial_x \psi_R - \psi_L \partial_x \psi_L) \right]$$

 $+H_{\rm hybridization}$

Can then "integrate out" gapped superconductor degrees of freedom

Fu & Kane 2009



$$H_{\text{edge}} = \int dx [-\pi(\psi_R \psi_R + \psi_L \psi_L) - ihv(\psi_R \partial_x \psi_R - \psi_L \partial_x \psi_L)] \\ + \int dx \Delta(\psi_R \psi_L + H.c.) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Describes a ID topological} \\ \text{superconductor (on a ring)!} \end{array}$$





Alicea & Lindner





Alicea & Lindner













Homework Set 2

I. Show that integrating out the parent superconductor's degree of freedom indeed generates pairing terms for the edge modes/wire. Do other parameters in the Hamiltonian also get renormalized due to the hybridization?

2. Rewrite the wire Hamiltonian in terms of operators that add excitations to the upper/lower bands. Project out the upper band and compare the resulting effective Hamiltonian with the toy model for a spinless p+ip superconductor.

$$H = \int dx\psi \left[-\frac{\partial_x^2}{2m} - \pi - ihv\partial_x\sigma^y - \frac{g\pi_B B}{2}\sigma^z \right]\psi + (\Delta\psi_{\uparrow}\psi_{\downarrow} + h\ c\)$$

$$Project out this band
band
$$\psi + (\Delta\psi_{\uparrow}\psi_{\downarrow} + h\ c\)$$$$

3. Find the parameter range (i.e., magnetic field, pairing energy, and chemical potential) over which the topological phase occurs in ID wires.

ID wire vs. 2D topological insulator setups



-Not much tuning required

- -Built-in resilience against disorder (Anderson's theorem)
- -Few materials (but situation is improving)



-Semiconductor technology well advanced

- -Required ingredients demonstrated long ago
- -Need to fine-tune chemical potential within (small) Zeeman gap
- -Disorder poses more serious issue

Other promising realizations

<u>3D topological insulator</u> <u>nanowires</u>



Cook and Franz, Phys. Rev. B 84, 201105(R) (2011)



Beenakker et al., Phys. Rev. B **84**, 195442 (2011); Yazdani et al., Phys. Rev. B **88**, 020407(R) (2013)

<u>Magnetic-atom chains</u> <u>on a superconductor</u> Experimental Routes to 2D topological superconductivity

An "intrinsic" realization



Willet, Eisenstein, et al. (1987) Moore & Read (1991) Bonderson, Kitaev, Shtengel (2006) Stern & Halperin (2006) W. Kang et al. (2011)



$$\Psi_{\rm Pf} = {\rm Pf}\left(\frac{1}{z_i - z_j}\right) \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^2$$

Composite fermions form a **"spinless"** metal







$$H = \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \psi \ (-iv\vec{\sigma} \ \nabla - \pi)\psi$$

Surface looks **"spinless"!** (i.e., only one Fermi surface rather than two)

(Fu, Kane, & Mele 2006; Moore & Balents 2006; Roy 2006; Fu & Kane 2008)



$$H = \int d^2 \mathbf{r} [\psi \ (-iv\vec{\sigma} \ \nabla - \pi)\psi + (\Delta\psi_{\uparrow}\psi_{\downarrow} + h \ c \)]$$

Surface inherits spinsinglet pairing...

(Fu, Kane, & Mele 2006; Moore & Balents 2006; Roy 2006; Fu & Kane 2008)



$$H = \int d^2 \mathbf{r} [\psi \ (-iv\vec{\sigma} \ \nabla - \pi)\psi + (\Delta\psi_{\uparrow}\psi_{\downarrow} + h \ c \)]$$
...but spin is not conserved, so this is Surface inherits spin-

NOT a simple s-wave superconductor

singlet pairing...

(Fu, Kane, & Mele 2006; Moore & Balents 2006; Roy 2006; Fu & Kane 2008)



$$H = \int d^{2}\mathbf{k} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{+}(k)\psi_{+}\psi_{+} + \epsilon_{-}(k)\psi_{-}\psi_{-} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$Pairing is p+ip in this basis!$$

$$+\Delta \left[\left(\frac{k_{x} + ik_{y}}{2k} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \psi_{-}(k)\psi_{-}(-k) - \psi_{+}(k)\psi_{+}(-k) \end{bmatrix} + h c \right] \right\}$$

(Fu, Kane, & Mele 2006; Moore & Balents 2006; Roy 2006; Fu & Kane 2008)





$$H = \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \psi \left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \pi - i\alpha(\sigma^x \partial_y - \sigma^y \partial_x) \right] \psi$$

Rashba spin-orbit coupling in 2D



$$H = \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \psi \left[-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \pi - i\alpha(\sigma^x \partial_y - \sigma^y \partial_x) + V_z \sigma^z \right] \psi$$



$$\begin{split} H &= \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \psi \, \left[\, - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \pi - i \alpha (\sigma^x \partial_y - \sigma^y \partial_x) + V_z \sigma^z \right] \psi \\ &+ \int d^2 \mathbf{r} (\Delta \psi_{\uparrow} \psi_{\downarrow} + h \, c \,) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Realizes 2D topological SC supporting} \\ \text{Majorana zero-modes (when chemical potential is tuned)} \end{split}$$

⁽Sau, Lutchyn, Tewari, & Das Sarma 2009)

Summary so far



Next time: Majorana detection schemes, experimental status, and applications